



Name of meeting	SURREY LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION TEAM (LIT)											
Date meeting held	Wednesday 11 th March 2009, 10 to 12.30											
Meeting Location	Park House, Leatherhead											
Invited Members	Diane Woods	√	Donal Hegarty	√	Janine Sanderson	√	Fiona Edwards	√	Dr Jill Rasmussen	A	Jo-Anne Alner	√
(√ attended A apols)	Stuart Craig	√	Don Illman	√	Megan Aspel	√	Clive Stone	√	Anthony Byrne	√	Mike Wilson	√
	Diane Luck	√	Dr Attard	A	Dr Malcolm Hawthorne	√	Andy Edeleanu	A	David Rye	√	Michael Sellors	A
	Dominic Parkinson	√	Geoff Upfold	A	Sandra Roach (SHA)	A	Faye Nel in Place of Anne Hearn	√	Tracey Hayes	√		
	J Agudelo	√	Ghazala Waheed	√	Charmaine Valler	√						

AGENDA ITEM	ACTIONS & NOTE OF DISCSSIONS	WHO and When
1. Apologies	Apologies were made as set out above.	
2. Minutes and Matters Arising	<p>The following amendments were Noted :</p> <p>Discussed suggested ammendments to January 2009 LIT minutes, particularly AE's suggestion that all proposed tendering contracts should be notified through the LIT's. DW clarified that this was a procurement issue and the PCT would need to be advised by the procurment process.</p> <p>Page 7 – Langley Green – First sentence should read 'Serious concern was expressed by Don Illman on the manner..... treated with respect to the transfer to Langley Green....' Second sentence should read ' In response it was..... disappointment at the suspension had been shared.... had had to be acknowledged.'</p> <p>Page 6 – Crisis Line Update – The line would be available across the County on 1 April 2009, noting, however, some issues around the implementaqtion of the telephone system which might impose time</p>	

	constraints on this date.	
<p>3. Presentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Surrey BME Community Development workers – Surrey Community Action and Southside Partnership 	<p>Three BME Community Development workers from Surrey Community Action, Southside Partnership and the Travellers' community were welcomed to the meeting. Two of the presentations outlined the lack of awareness in these communities on the mental health services to which they could have access. There were many reasons for this, including ignorance, cultural suspicion, language barriers and drug issues. As a result of this lack of awareness, there appeared to be a high rate of suicide and depression.</p> <p>During follow-up discussion, it was agreed that consideration would be given to the following :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusion of these communities in stakeholder groups; • The establishing of Mental Health Service venues more ethnically attuned to those from different backgrounds; • The overcoming of the language barrier for those needing to access the services; <p>The third presentation related to work being done in the prison service and the raising awareness of mental health issues with the following points arising during follow-up discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Family/carers support in prisons relied largely on voluntary organisations; • Information on local services which could be available to the families of prisoners to be sent to Janine Sanderson who would co-ordinate distribution to the Community Development Workers. <p>It was agreed that the annual CDW reports would be tabled at the LIT with attention being drawn to areas where specific strategic assistance and support could be considered. To assist further, consideration would also be given to the collation of Surrey wide information on providers. The possibility of a 'Providers Forum' where people such as CDW's could have that line of communication to feed back to providers. The gathering of more information on those currently accessing services was noted as being hampered by the restraints relating to ethnicity recording in primary care.</p> <p>.</p>	
<p>4. Policy and Performance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ NSF Service Mapping Update 	<p>This annual collection of data outlining all the mental health services commissioned throughout Surrey was underway. The document gave a good overview of the</p>	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ NSF Self Assessment Update 	<p>services and would eventually be outlined on a web site. JS requested that any 'providers' who received an email from servicemap@mhssupport.org to follow the instructions and update/add an entry for their service.</p> <p>As agreed a 'local process' was underway to score this years self assessment indicators and the results from Guildford and Waverley and Surrey Heath bcalities were distributed at the meeting, noting that once results from all four localities were in, a Surrey wide assessment would be undertaken and reported to the May LIT meeting.</p>	
<p>5. Local Stakeholder Group Reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Guildford and Waverley ▪ Surrey Heath and North East Hampshire ▪ Mid and East Surrey 	<p>No meeting had taken place since the last LIT but the next one was planned for 17 March, noting that one of the agenda items would be ratification of the self assessment scores.</p> <p>At the last meeting there had been detailed discussion around carers' issues, particularly relating to what could amount to months between an assessment and the provision of the support, noting that this was also an issue when a crisis arose. The question had also been posed as to whether this time delay fell within legal requirements. The suggestion of a review into the carers process was welcomed and it was AGREED that a review would be undertaken and the results brought back to the July LIT with the following points requiring attention :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The difficult interface with any SCC funding which might be required; • If possible, concrete examples of unmet or delayed carers' requests to be provided. Opinion to be sought through stakeholder forums. • Information to be provided on the roles of the providers and the Commissioners; • Clarity on care pathway taken for carers assessments. • The role of the Carer Support Workers, attached to the CMHTs, which was focussed on the assessments and not to the provision of the support; • The setting out of a clear pathway for the process ; <p>DH agreed to put together a presentation on these issues for the July 2009 LIT agenda, it was also agreed to have an open session at the commencement of the meeting to allow interested parties to attend.</p> <p>It was reported that there was still a lack of representation from service users or carers on this group, although providers had been present. Discussion had taken place on</p>	<p>Stakeholder Chairs</p> <p>DH</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concern was also expressed that the respite beds throughout Surrey would actually end up being used as overflow beds. In response, Donal Hegarty agreed to examine with the stakeholder groups the development of specifications for the use of these respite beds. The names of members of the stakeholders groups prepared to assist with this exercise were called for. <p>Whatever the outcomes of both these areas of concern, the importance of communication with all those involved throughout the process, particularly the stakeholders, was stressed</p>	<p>DH</p>
<p>6. LIT Business Items- Update Reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Surrey PCT Strategic Commissioning Plan & Intentions 	<p>It was reported that the Surrey PCT was in a challenged financial position for the coming year, exacerbated by the current general economic situation. It was noted that although there were implications in terms of growth and development, arising from the current financial constraints, there was no specific savings target for mental health. Some areas being initiated and for which specifications were being developed were as follows, noting, however, that there was an agenda of from 3 – 5 years involved for finalisation for some of the areas of work:</p> <p>Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT). Older Adult mental health including Dementia. Inequality of access for vulnerable people. Adult ADHD. Acute Liaison roles for people with learning disability in acute services. Advocacy. Adult mental health – monitoring of Home Treatment services. Crisis help line now commissioned. Review of CMHTs in line with recovery model. Ensure completion of acute beds and monitoring. Evaluation of first year CDW workforce. Working with agencies and providers on rehabilitation accommodation. Modernisation of day services. Commission support to facilitate major contract work, including developing of tariffs for mental health and for an outcomes focussed approach to work.</p>	

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) • Advocacy (DH) • Supported Employment Tender Update • NSF Themed Review	<p>Any areas from the Darzi Report not covered in this list would be picked up and addressed in the Keith Wilson report.</p> <p>A document outlining current themes which emerged from the IAPT Co-design Event held on 4th March was distributed. The function and interaction of LIT in this process was discussed, noting that it was not anticipated it would be part of the process of sign off but would be involved in the specifications without taking on the actual procurement. AGREED that those currently leading the project would be consulted on what the LIT role would be with the opinion being expressed, however, that it should be around strategy and whether the LIT could influence the future direction of the service in mental health.</p> <p>An Advocacy Focus group had been held which had examined the 3 advocacy models currently under discussion. DH clarified that advocacy for joint investment by Surrey PCT and Surrey County Council had been agreed. From 1 April 2009 the following services will be in place:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Independent Mental Health Advocacy Service (IMHA) which will cover the whole of the county and cover all the client groups except children. Provided by Matrix in the West and KAG in the East.b. General advocacy services that will cover the whole county. Provided by Matrix in the West and KAG in the East.c. Service user led advocacy services, Walton and Weybridge (WWAG) covering the Borough of Elmbridge, Mary Francis Trust covering Mole Valley and Aspirations covering Surrey Heath. It was also acknowledged that coverage of service user led advocacy across more areas in Surrey would be explored once the current projects had been piloted successfully and addition funding was identified. <p>Noted that following a tender process this contract had been awarded to Richmond Fellowship to cover West Surrey with a proposed contract award date of April 2009. The pathway process with regard to referrals and how this would work would be communicated by Richmond Fellowship and communicated through forums such as the Guildford and Waverley Stakeholders' Group.</p> <p>This topic has not yet been formalised although the theme has been agreed as 'Darzi'.</p>	<p>DW</p>
--	---	-----------

<p>Items for Action/Decision</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sign off TOR for Suicide Prevention Group – Emma Daniells• Alcohol Strategy Feedback• Stakeholder Group and LIT PR Leaflet – to be agreed.	<p>The challenge notified to the LIT was the effect this late notification may have on the ability to submit any report with the feedback of the LIT.</p> <p>Document to be circulated for comment for sign off at the next meeting.</p> <p>This document had been circulated by e mail and, although the deadline had passed, it was still possible to make comments if they could be returned to Janine Sanderson as soon as possible.-template for feedback has been circulated.</p> <p>Comments on the proposed Leaflet was invited with feedback to be submitted to Janine Sanderson within one week.</p>	

Completed by	
---------------------	--