



Surrey Local Implementation Team

Name of meeting	SURREY LIT											
Date meeting held	Wednesday 10 th September 2008, 10 to 12.30											
Meeting Location	Park House, Leatherhead											
Invited Members	Diane Woods (Chair)	✓	Donal Hegarty	A	Janine Sanderson	✓	Fiona Edwards	A	Dr Jill Rasmussen	✓	Anna Raleigh	A
(✓ attended A apols)	Tracey Hayes for (Stuart Craig)	✓	Michael Sellors	A	Megan Aspel	✓	Clive Stone	✓	Anthony Byrne	✓	Mike Wilson	✓
	Diane Luck	A	Megan Aspel	✓	Dr Malcolm Hawthorne	✓	Andy Edeleanu	✓	David Rye	✓	Ann Heath	✓
	Don Illman	✓	Dr N T Attard	✓	Sandra Roach (SHA SE Coast)	✓	Mike Munson on behalf of Dominic Parkinson	✓	Tracey Hayes	✓	Beryl Lawrence (Minute Taker)	✓
	Maya Twardzicki	✓										

AGENDA ITEM	ACTION / DISCUSSION
1. Apologies/Welcome and Introductions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Members were welcomed to the meeting and introductions made.
2. Minutes and Matters Arising <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated Terms of Reference 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minutes of the meeting - 11.6.08 were confirmed. Terms of Reference – The updated Terms of Reference were accepted.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LIT Workplan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LIT Workplan – The Workplan was noted.
<p>3. Presentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mental Health- A review of the data – Maya Twardzicki for Emma Seria-Walker Improving Mental Wellbeing in Surrey Strategy 2008-2011- Maya Twardzicki 	<p>Mental Health – A review of the Data (presented by Maya Twardzicki for Emma Seria-Walker)</p> <p>This presentation constituted mental health data collected locally in order to compare Surrey with how it stood in relation to the whole of England and would be made available on line. It was hoped that from a Surrey perspective, the data could be unpacked to focus on priorities and problem areas. It was noted that once needs assessments started coming together, an update would be made available and a strategy developed.</p> <p>During discussion the following points were picked up for further information and/or clarification and MT agreed to feedback answers for the next meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The difference between the UK suicide figures for males and the Surrey figures and their correlation to mood disorders. Data indicated the highest psychiatric admission diagnosis as psychoactive drugs; did this figure include alcohol and other factors. In relation to GPs, where Surrey sat with respect to patients against national data. Prescribing costs information Clarification around whether the in-patient data included acute as well as mental health admissions was requested by MH. <p>Improving Mental Wellbeing in Surrey Strategy 2008-2011 (presented by Maya Twardzicki)</p> <p>It was reported that a publication incorporating this Strategy would be distributed shortly and information released on how people could become involved in each area, noting, however, that the current strategy had prioritised those areas where little or nothing had been done but he's not, excluded other areas such as schools and young people.</p>
<p>4. Performance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NSF Local Performance Report 	<p>NSF Local Performance Report</p> <p>The updated NSF work plan was circulated showing progress reports for Q1, once complete each target will have an action plan completed by the responsible manager. These action plans will available to all however the LIT does not have the capacity to review each one individually so an exception report (just looking at the areas that are reporting red of amber progress) format has been adopted.</p>

Discussions on Red and Amber report areas are set out below. It was noted that there were still some action plans outstanding and that once the Surrey LIT web site was up and running the full action plan underlying each Target would be made available.

Comment was made on the following :

(9) **MH of People with Learning Disabilities**

An audit of Epsom, Ewell & Banstead had revealed approximately one third of referrals related to developmental problems such as Aspergers, one third to mental health and one third covered all other reasons. Assistance from LIT was noted as being required in the following areas: equity of services between East and West; access for LD to mental health services; updated protocols for neuro-developmental disorders; clarity on the commissioning intentions of the PCT, noting the impact on local services of London referrals; the establishing of an LD register.

(1) **Primary-secondary interface**

Progress slow pending development of criteria and guidance and more clarity on the proportioning of the money from IAPT (Improving Access to Psychological Therapies) which is a large government initiative .

(6c) **Older People Mental Health – Service Delivery**

Progress being made and workshops to take place in October facilitated by CSIP (Care Service Improvement Partnership)

(13) **Service User Involvement**

Down for discussion as agenda item.

(18) **Advocacy**

Specific workshops need to be set up to cover this area which emerged as a clear priority and an area that had not been covered in the workshops held around the 'Keith Wilson' strategic review.

(22) **Mental Capacity Act**

Very slow progress but conference taking place early December on mental capacity and deprivation of liberty safeguarding.

(24) **Improving access to Psychological therapies**

Moving forward as this area was now part of a major national programme (IAPT) but still awaiting guidance on the next stage of this initiative and would be able to update at the next LIT.

The Workplan would be updated and circulated as more information became available.

- Suicide Audit-
- Strategy Development Report-

- Due to AR and ES-W absence it was agreed to table this item for the next meeting.
- Workshops had been completed following the Keith Wilson Strategic Review and write ups of these have now been circulated and will shortly be available on the PCT website. It was planned to hold more workshops on the themes which had emerged from the Stakeholder events and a consultation

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Investment Profile Report ▪ Contract Performance Report- for discussion as an item ▪ Service User Monitoring Report- for discussion as item 	<p>around commissioning strategy would be produced once all the information had been collated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This draft report was considered, noting, however, that although this information had been called for, its value in terms of revealing the detail within each sector was questioned. DW stressed that this report was not to be circulated as it could be interpreted in a number of different ways and needed the explanation behind it for it to be of value. Discussion took place on whether the data should be displayed or interpreted differently to provide the answers to the information being requested. • Discussion took place around the proposal raised by the local stakeholders' groups regarding contract monitoring and whether LIT should have a role in this. The opinion was expressed that this was a highly technical process which would require guidance by professionals and give this forum a scrutiny role. It was felt it would be more appropriate for this forum to discuss policy in terms of areas not seen to be performing in relation to resources and to shaping the market. Liaison with LINKS who did have this monitoring role was however, also suggested. • The opinion was expressed that the poor level of service user involvement was due to the large number of organisational changes currently taking place resulting in a lack of clarity on the role of user groups. It was felt that more publicity was required to draw attention to what was happening and it was suggested that the possibility could be put to the Focus group of adding half an hour after Focus meetings to discuss this issue if this was agreed through the groups.
<p>5. Local Stakeholder Group Reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Guildford and Waverley ▪ Surrey Heath and North East Hampshire ▪ Mid and East Surrey ▪ North West Surrey 	<p>Local Stakeholder Group Reports</p> <p>Guildford and Waverley – The next meeting was due to be held on 11 September with a full agenda. New people had indicated their interest and a service user had volunteered to do the minutes.</p> <p>Surrey Heath and North East Hampshire – Some progress had been noted in the early intervention field but not much in assertive outreach. A complaint was registered that funding for day services had been drastically reduced and a promise had been made to fill the gap with more activities. A report outlining progress around this was requested from the SABPFT, as it did not appear to be happening on the ground.</p> <p>Mid and East Surrey - The main issues recorded related to the lack of advocacy and the difference in quality of service between one CMHT and another in this area.</p> <p>North West Surrey – The last stakeholder meeting which had hoped to attract some new members held on 31 July had not been well attended. Discussion had also taken place around attracting more people and the election of a chair and deputy chair.</p>

6. LIT Business Items-
▪ Information Bulletins

○ **Carers Strategy**



Y:\ESPCT Work\
Strategic Commission

○ **Darzi Reports**

www.dh.gov.uk/en/Healthcare/OurNH/Sourfuture/index.htm

www.southeastcoast.nhs.uk/hpec

Links for South East Cost Darzi Consultation

<http://www.southeastcoast.nhs.uk/hpec/Haveasay.asp> or you can email ournhs@southeastcoast.nhs.uk. The closing date for comments is 15 September.

○ **Our Future, Your Say for in-patient services- A consultation Document**

○ **Themed Review Outcome-Dual Diagnosis**

www.csip.org.uk/resources/publications/dual-diagnosis.html

○ **STR Workers, Competence Framework (July 2008)**

<http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publicationsandstatistics>

Carers' Strategy – distributed.

Darzi Reports - MH gave a brief overview of the work and agreed to give a presentation on Darzi at the next meeting by Dr Malcolm Hawthorne. the web links for these reports were noted

Our Future, Your Say for in-patient services – Consultation Document

A printed copy of this consultation document was distributed at the meeting. A more detailed version of the document was requested to provide a more detailed explanation- it was unclear if this was available on the website.

Concern was expressed around the 'inevitable' language used in the document and Surrey Heath expressed concern as they opposed the closure of Wingfield Ward.

The following information documents were noted as being available on line:

- **Themed Review Outcome – Dual Diagnosis**
- **STR Workers, Competence Framework (July 2008)**
- **Implementation of the Mental Health Act 2007 : transitional arrangements.**
- **Dementia Consultation**
- **Fatal Fires Report**

Item for Action/Decision – LIT representation of people who use mental health services policy -
Agreed for sign off.

[cations/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_085969](http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/PublicationsandStatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_085969)

- **Implementation of the Mental Health Act 2007: transitional arrangements**

http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/PublicationsandStatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_086566

- **Dementia Consultation**
http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Consultations/Liveconsultations/DH_085570

- **Fatal Fires Report**



Z:\LIT & local
Group-forums\Surrey

- **Items for Action/Decision**

- LIT representation of people who use mental health services policy- to be agreed



Z:\LIT & local
Group-forums\Surrey

- This policy was agreed by members.

Completed by